arXiv:1202.5530v1 [math.AG] 24 Feb 2012

Examples of varieties of structures.

César Massri[a,1,*]

[a]*Departamento de Matemática, FCEN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina*

Abstract

In this article we will introduce, among others, the variety of subcomplexes and the variety of maps between complexes of given rank. Also, varieties of $\mathfrak{g}$-structure like $\mathfrak{g}$-Grassmannian, $\mathfrak{g}$-determinantal varieties and finally the variety $\mathcal{DGLA}(E)$ of differential graded Lie algebra structures on E. We will compute the dimensions of these varieties and also some relevant properties. The motivation of this article is to give examples of moduli spaces relevant to deformation theory.

Keywords: Graded structures, Grassmannian, Determinantal variety, DGLA, Moduli spaces
2010 MSC: 14M15.

Introduction.

This article is related to the variety of complexes studied in [1]. The variety of complexes, often called Buchsbaum-Eisenbud variety, is the main topic of several articles, [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17].

In [18, §24] there is a general construction. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, let $P_1, \dots, P_m$ be homogeneous polynomial functions on V and let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the homogeneous ideal generated by $P_1, \dots, P_m$ in the algebra $S^\star(V^\vee)$ of all polynomial functions on V. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ denote the projective variety associated to $\mathcal{I}$. Let $G \subseteq GL(V)$ be the algebraic subgroup that leaves $\mathcal{I}$ stable. It follows that G acts on X. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. For each $x \in X$ we will define a structure of a complex (d^0, d^1) in the graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$. Let $d^0 : \mathfrak{g} \to V$ be given by $d^0(a) = a.x$ and let $d^1 : V \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be given by $d^1(v) = ((dP_1)_x(v), \dots, (dP_m)_x(v))$. It is an exercise to verify that if $x \in X$ then $d^1 d^0 = 0$, so we have defined a map from X to the variety of complexes on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$,

$$X \longrightarrow \{(d^0, d^1) \in \mathbb{P}\hom(\mathfrak{g}, V) \times \mathbb{P}\hom(V, \mathbb{C}^m) \mid d^1 d^0 = 0\}.$$

The homology of each complex has a geometric meaning. If $H^1(x) = 0$, that is, if $\mathfrak{g}.x = T_x X$, the point x has an open orbit (it determines an irreducible component of X). See [18] for a proof and more references.

---

[*] Address for correspondence: Departamento de Matemática, FCEN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina
Email address: `cmassri@dm.uba.ar` (César Massri)

[1] The author was fully supported by CONICET, Argentina

Let's see another interesting construction from the theory of Differential Graded Lie Algebra (DGLA) in the context of deformation theory. A Differential Graded Lie Algebra $(E, d, [-,-])$ is a graded vector space E together with a differential d of degree one and a graded bracket subject to some compatibilities,

- $[E^i, E^j] \subset E^{i+j}$, $[a, b] = -(-1)^{\overline{a}\overline{b}}[b, a]$
- $[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + (-1)^{\overline{a}\overline{b}}[b, [a, c]]$
- $d(E^i) \subset E^{i+1}$, $d^2 = 0$, $d[a, b] = [da, b] + (-1)^{\overline{a}}[a, db]$

Every DGLA E comes with its Maurer-Cartan variety

$$M(E) = \{x \in E^1 \mid dx + \frac{1}{2}[x, x] = 0\}.$$

It is of interest to understand the local behavior of some $x \in M(E)$. Note that if $x \in M(E)$ then $d + [x, -]$ is a new differential in the Graded Lie Algebra $(E, [-,-])$,

$$(d + [x, -])^2 = d^2 + [x, [x, -]] + d[x, -] + [x, d-] =$$

$$d^2 + [x, [x, -]] + [dx, -] - [x, d-] + [x, d-] = \frac{1}{2}[[x, x], -] + [dx, -] =$$

$$[dx + \frac{1}{2}[x, x], -] = 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{DGLA}(E)$ be the variety of DGLA structures on E (we are assuming that E is bounded) and let $\mathcal{D}(E)$ be the variety of complexes on the graded vector space E then we have the following diagram,

$$M(E) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{DGLA}(E) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{D}(E),$$

$$\kappa(x) = (d + [x, -], [-,-]), \quad \pi(\delta, [-,-]) = \delta.$$

Again, we have a map to a variety of complexes but also, we have a map to the mysterious variety $\mathcal{DGLA}(E)$. From the definition of DGLA we know that E^0 is a Lie algebra and E^i are E^0-modules, so to analyze $\mathcal{DGLA}(E)$ we would need some auxiliaries varieties of structures.

Let's see another example. The theorem of Frobenius ([19, 2.32]) implies that every differential ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \Omega^{\star}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ generated by 1-forms corresponds to a foliation in $\mathbb{P}^n$. The homogeneous polynomials of degree e in $\mathcal{I}$ determines a subcomplex of the finite dimensional complex $\Omega^{\star}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)$ of forms with coefficients of degree e,

$$\mathcal{I}(e) \subseteq \Omega^{\star}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e).$$

It would be necessary to understand the variety of subcomplexes of a given complex. In this article we will do that.

This article is divided in two parts. In the first part (sections 1,2,3,4) we will work with varieties of graded structures. In the second part (sections 5,6,7,8) we will work with varieties of $\mathfrak{g}$-structures with $\mathfrak{g}$ a semisimple Lie algebra. In the **first section** we will give some preliminaries that we are going to use in sections 2,3 and 4, like definitions, notations and some computations.

In the **second, third and fourth sections** we will study the variety of subcomplexes of a complex, the variety of maps of given rank and the variety of complexes of given dimensions. The **fourth section** is a review of [1]. In the **fifth section** we will give some definitions and notations to be used in sections 6,7 and 8. In the **sixth, seventh and eighth sections** we will study the variety of maps of a given $\mathfrak{g}$-rank (we call it $\mathfrak{g}$-determinantal variety), the variety of submodules of a given module (we call it $\mathfrak{g}$-Grassmannian) and finally the variety $\mathcal{DGLA}(E)$ assuming $E = E^0 \oplus E^1 \oplus E^2$ with E^0 a semisimple Lie algebra. All the proofs presented in this article uses standard techniques of algebraic geometry, see [20] for reference.

1. Preliminaries on complexes.

Given (V, d) and (W, δ) two complexes, we will denote $\hom(V, W)$ the morphisms of complexes. It is a graduate vector space. A morphism of degree i is an element of $\hom^i(V, W)$. The group $GL(V)$ will denote the automorphism of the complex V. An element in $GL(V)$ is an invertible endomorphism of (V, d). In this work we will assume that all the complexes are bounded and finite dimensional

$$W = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} W_i, \quad \dim W_i < \infty.$$

Notation 1. For $f \in \hom^0(V, L)$, let

$$\mathrm{rk}(f) := (\mathrm{rk}(f^0), \mathrm{rk}(f^1), \dots, \mathrm{rk}(f^n)) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}.$$

Also, let $\dim V := \mathrm{rk}(1_V)$,

$$\dim V = (\dim(V^0), \dim(V^1), \dots, \dim(V^n)) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}.$$

For $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$ we define the order $s \leq r$ induced by $\mathbb{N}_0$,

$$s \leq r \iff s_i \leq r_i \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

Lemma 2. *Let (V, d) and (V', d') two complexes then* $\hom^0(V, V')$ *is a vector space of dimension*

$$\dim \hom^0(V, V') = \sum_{i=0}^{n} h^i h'^i + rk(d^i) rk(d'^i), \quad h^i = \dim H^i(V),\ h'^i = \dim H^i(V').$$

Proof. Let $Z^i := \ker(d^i)$, $B^i := \mathrm{im}(d^{i-1})$, $H^i := Z^i/B^i$ and C^i a complement of Z^i in V^i, then

$$V^i = Z^i \oplus C^i = B^i \oplus H^i \oplus C^i.$$

Note that $C^i \cong B^{i+1}$ because $d|_C$ is injective. Same for V'.

Let $f \in \hom^0(V, V')$ then $f(B) \subseteq B'$ and $f(H) \subseteq H'$, so we may suppose

$$B^i \oplus H^i \oplus B^{i+1} \xrightarrow{f^i} B'^i \oplus H'^i \oplus B'^{i+1}.$$

Given that $f^i d^{i-1} = d'^{i-1} f^{i-1}$, we have that $f^i|_{B^i}$ is determined by f^{i-1}, and then f^i is defined by an element of $\hom(H^i, H'^i) \times \hom(B^{i+1}, B'^{i+1})$. Finally,

$$\hom^0(V, V') \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \hom(H^i, H'^i) \times \hom(B^{i+1}, B'^{i+1}).$$

□

Corollary 3. *Let L and N be complexes such that* $\dim L = \dim N = r$ *and* $\dim H(L) = \dim H(N) = s$*, then*

$$\dim\ker(d_L^i) = \dim\ker(d_N^i).$$

Even more, let $z^i := \dim\ker(d^i)$ *then*

$$z^i = r_{i-1} - z^{i-1} + s_i.$$

Proof. Let $Z^i = \ker(d^i)$, $B^i = \mathrm{im}(d^{i-1})$, $H^i = Z^i/B^i$ and $C^i \cong B^{i+1}$ a complement of Z^i in V^i. The dimensions of these spaces are denoted with lower cases z^i, b^i, h^i, c^i, v^i.

Let proceed inductively. Given that the complexes start in degree zero, we have

$$z^0(L) = h^0(L) = h^0(N) = z^0(N).$$

Given that $\dim L^i = \dim N^i$ and $\dim L^i = z^i(L) + b^{i+1}(L)$ we have by inductive hypothesis $b^{i+1}(L) = b^{i+1}(N)$. Given that $h^{i+1}(L) = h^{i+1}(N)$, we get

$$z^{i+1}(L) = z^{i+1}(N).$$

□

Notation 4. Let $\chi_i : \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the i-partial characteristic,

$$\chi_i(t) := t_i - t_{i-1} + t_{i-2} - \ldots + (-1)^i t_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$$

Resolving the recursion in 3, $z^i = r_{i-1} - z^{i-1} + s_i$, we have

$$z^i = \chi_{i-1}(r) + \chi_i(s), \quad r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}.$$

Proposition 5. *Let V, L and N be complexes such that* $\dim L = \dim N$ *and* $\dim H(L) = \dim H(N)$ *then*

$$\dim\hom^0(V, L) = \dim\hom^0(V, N).$$

Proof. From 3 we know $z^i(L) = z^i(N)$. Given that $\dim(L^i) = \dim(N^i)$ we have $b^{i+1}(L) = b^{i+1}(N)$ then

$$h^i(V)h^i(L) + b^{i+1}(V)b^{i+1}(L) = h^i(V)h^i(N) + b^{i+1}(V)b^{i+1}(N).$$

Using 2 we get $\dim\hom^0(V, L) = \dim\hom^0(V, N)$. □

Corollary 6. *Let (V, d) be a complex then* $\chi_n(\dim V) = \chi_n(\dim HV)$.

Proof.

$$\chi_n(\dim V) = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i \dim V^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i(\dim\mathrm{im}(d^{i-1}) + \dim H^i + \dim V/\mathrm{im}(d^{i-1})) =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i(\dim\mathrm{im}(d^{i-1}) + \dim H^i + \dim\mathrm{im}(d^i)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i \dim H^i(V) = \chi_n(\dim HV).$$

□

The group $GL(V) \times GL(W)$ acts on the left on $\hom(V, W)$ in the following way

$$(\phi, \psi).f := \psi f \phi^{-1}, \quad (\phi, \psi) \in GL(V) \times GL(W).$$

Given that f is a map of complexes, $\delta f = f d$, its conjugate is also a map of complexes

$$\delta\psi f \phi^{-1} = \psi\delta f \phi^{-1} = \psi f d\phi^{-1} = \psi f \phi^{-1} d.$$

Given that V and W are bounded and finite dimensional in each degree, $GL(V) \times GL(W)$ is a Lie group with Lie algebra $\hom^0(V, V) \times \hom^0(W, W)$.

The group $GL(V)$ acts on $\hom(V, V)$ by conjugation, $\phi.f := \phi f \phi^{-1}$.

2. Variety of subcomplexes of a given complex.

Let us fix a complex (W, δ), $W = \bigoplus_0^n W_i$, $\dim W_i < \infty$ and let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(W)$ the variety of all the subcomplexes of W, that is, $L \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $L \subseteq W$ is a subcomplex of W.

For $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$ let $\mathcal{G}_{r,s} \subseteq \mathrm{Grass}(W^0, r_0) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{Grass}(W^n, r_n)$ be the subvariety of $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of all the subcomplexes L such that $\dim L = r$ and $\dim H(L) = s$,

$$\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(W) = \mathcal{G}_{r,s} := \{(L^0, L^1, \ldots, L^n) \mid \delta^i(L^i) \subseteq L^{i+1},\ \dim L = r,\ \dim H(L) = s\}.$$

Proposition 7. *Let $w := \dim W$ and $h := \dim H(W)$. Suppose $\mathcal{G}_{r,s} \neq \emptyset$ then it is irreducible, smooth and*

$$\dim \mathcal{G}_{r,s} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (h_i - s_i)s_i + (\chi_i(w - h) - \chi_i(r - s))\chi_i(r - s).$$

Proof. Consider the map that forgets the last coordinate $\mathcal{G}_{r,s} \xrightarrow{\pi} \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\widehat{r},\widehat{s}}$. The fibers of this map $\pi^{-1}(L^0, \ldots, L^{n-1})$ are

$$\{L^n \subseteq W^n \mid \delta^{n-1}(L^{n-1}) \subseteq L^n,\ \dim(L^n) = r_n,\ \dim\ker(\delta^n|_{L^n}) - \mathrm{rk}(\delta^{n-1}|_{L^{n-1}}) = s_n\} \cong$$

$$\cong \mathrm{Grass}(\ker\delta^n/\delta^{n-1}(L^{n-1}), s_n) \times \mathrm{Grass}(W^n/\ker\delta^n, r_n - \mathrm{rk}(\delta^{n-1}|_{L^{n-1}}) - s_n).$$

They are smooth and irreducible. Given that

$$r_n - \mathrm{rk}(\delta^{n-1}|_{L^{n-1}}) - s_n = r_n - (\dim\ker(\delta^n|_{L^n}) - s_n) - s_n =$$

$$r_n - \chi_{n-1}(r) - \chi_n(s) = \chi_n(r) - \chi_n(s) = \chi_n(r - s),$$

we have

$$\dim \pi^{-1}(L^0, \ldots, L^{n-1}) =$$

$$(\dim H^n(W) - s_n)s_n + (\dim W^n - \dim\ker\delta^n - \chi_n(r - s))\chi_n(r - s) =$$

$$(h_n - s_n)s_n + (\chi_n(w - h) - \chi_n(r - s))\chi_n(r - s).$$

The result follows by induction. □

The group $GL(W)$ acts on $\mathcal{G}(W)$. We will say that $L \sim N$ if there exist $\phi \in GL(W)$ such that $\phi|_L : L \longrightarrow N$, in other words, L is related to $\phi(L)$. The relation in well defined because ϕ is an invertible map of complexes and given that $\delta(L) \subseteq L$ we have

$$\delta\phi(L) = \phi\delta(L) \subseteq \phi(L) \Longrightarrow \phi.L \in \mathcal{G}(W).$$

This action preserve the variety $\mathcal{G}_{r,s}$,

$$\dim(L) = \dim(\phi.L), \quad \dim(H(L)) = \dim(H(\phi.L)).$$

Given that the action is transitive on $\mathcal{G}_{r,s}$, it is an orbit.

Let's study the existence of some $L \subseteq W$ with $\dim L = r$ and $\dim H(L) = s$, in other words, $\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(W) \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 8. *Let $r \leq w := \dim W$, $s \leq h := \dim H(W)$, $h \leq w$ and $s \leq r$.*

$$\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(W) \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow 0 \leq \chi_i(r-s) \leq \chi_i(w-h).$$

PROOF. Write each $W^i = Z^i \oplus C^i$, where $Z^i \cong B^i \oplus H^i$ and $C^i \cong B^{i+1}$. Let's start the induction. Define $L^0 = L^0_Z \oplus L^0_C$, where $L^0_Z \subseteq Z^0 = H^0$ and $L^0_C \subseteq C^0$ with $\dim L^0_Z = s_0$ and $\dim L^0_C = r_0 - s_0$. This is possible because

$$0 \leq r_0 - s_0 = \dim(L^0_C) \leq \dim C^0 = w_0 - h_0 \Longrightarrow \dim(L^0) = r_0.$$

Suppose we have defined up to L^i. Given that $\delta^i|_{C^i}$ is injective there will be in L^{i+1} a copy of $L^i \cap C^i \cong L^{i+1} \cap B^{i+1}$. By inductive hypothesis, L is a complex of the required dimensions, then

$$\dim(L^{i+1} \cap B^{i+1}) = \dim(L^i \cap C^i) = \dim(L^i) - \dim(Z^i \cap L^i) = r_i - \chi_{i-1}(r) - \chi_i(s) = \chi_i(r-s).$$

Let $L^{i+1}_H \subseteq H^{i+1}$ of dimension s_{i+1}, let $L^{i+1}_B = L^{i+1} \cap B^{i+1}$ and let $L^{i+1}_C \subseteq C^{i+1}$ of dimension

$$r_{i+1} - (\dim L^{i+1}_H + \dim L^{i+1}_B) = r_{i+1} - s_{i+1} - \chi_i(r-s) = \chi_{i+1}(r-s).$$

This is possible because

$$0 \leq \chi_{i+1}(r-s) = \dim L^{i+1}_C \leq \dim C^{i+1} = \chi_{i+1}(w-h) \Longrightarrow$$

$$L^{i+1} := L^{i+1}_H \oplus L^{i+1}_B \oplus L^{i+1}_C, \quad \dim L^{i+1} = r_{i+1},\ \dim H^{i+1}(L) = s_{i+1}.$$

The other implication is obvious. □

3. Variety of maps between complexes of given rank.

Let V and W be complexes,

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} V_i,\ W = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} W_i, \quad \dim V_i,\ \dim W_i < \infty.$$

The group $GL(V) \times GL(W)$ acts on $\hom^0(V, W)$,

$$\psi f \phi^{-1} = (\psi^0 f^0 \phi^{0,-1}, \psi^1 f^1 \phi^{1,-1}, \dots, \psi^n f^n \phi^{n,-1})$$

where $f^{i+1}d^i = \delta^i f^i$, $\phi^{i+1}d^i = d^i\phi^i$, $\psi^{i+1}\delta^i = \delta^i\psi^i$, $\phi^i \in GL(V^i)$ and $\psi^i \in GL(W^i)$. Note that $\mathrm{rk}(f)$ is invariant under conjugation, $\mathrm{rk}(\psi f\phi^{-1}) = \mathrm{rk}(f)$ and if we denote $[f]$ the map induced on homology, $\mathrm{rk}([f])$ is also invariant by this action, $\mathrm{rk}([\psi f\phi^{-1}]) = \mathrm{rk}([f])$. For each $r, s \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ let

$$C_{r,s} = C_{r,s}(V, W) := \{f \in \hom^0(V, W) \,|\, \mathrm{rk}(f) = r,\ \mathrm{rk}([f]) = s\}.$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{\substack{0\leq r\leq \dim W,\\ 0\leq s\leq \dim H(W)}} C_{r,s} = \hom^0(V, W).$$

Lemma 9. *Let* $f, g \in \hom^0(V, W)$ *such that* $rk(f) = rk(g) = r$ *and* $rk([f]) = rk([g]) = s$ *then*

$$\dim f^i(\ker d^i) = \chi_{i-1}(r) + \chi_i(s) = \dim g^i(\ker \delta^i).$$

Proof. Given that $\mathrm{rk}(f^0|_{\ker d^0}) = \mathrm{rk}([f^0]) = \mathrm{rk}([g^0]) = \mathrm{rk}(g^0|_{\ker \delta^0})$ we have

$$\dim(f^0(\ker d^0)) = \dim(g^0(\ker \delta^0)).$$

The following applies

$$\mathrm{rk}(f^i) = \dim f^i(\ker d^i) + \mathrm{rk}(f^{i+1}d^i), \quad \mathrm{rk}(g^i) = \dim g^i(\ker \delta^i) + \mathrm{rk}(g^{i+1}\delta^i).$$

By inductive hypothesis, $\dim f^i(\ker d^i) = \dim g^i(\ker \delta^i)$, also $\mathrm{rk}(f^i) = \mathrm{rk}(g^i)$ then

$$\mathrm{rk}(f^{i+1}d^i) = \mathrm{rk}(g^{i+1}\delta^i).$$

Given that $\mathrm{rk}([f^{i+1}]) = \mathrm{rk}([g^{i+1}])$ we finally get

$$\dim f^{i+1}(\ker d^{i+1}) = \mathrm{rk}(f^{i+1}d^i) + \mathrm{rk}[f^{i+1}] = \mathrm{rk}(g^{i+1}\delta^i) + \mathrm{rk}[g^{i+1}] = \dim g^{i+1}(\ker \delta^{i+1}).$$

The formula for the dimension follows from the following recursion:

$$\mathrm{rk} f^i = \dim f^i(\ker d^i) + \mathrm{rk}(f^{i+1}d^i) \Longrightarrow$$

$$\mathrm{rk} f^i + \mathrm{rk}[f^{i+1}] = (\dim f^i(\ker d^i) + \mathrm{rk}(f^{i+1}d^i)) + \mathrm{rk}[f^{i+1}] \Longrightarrow$$

$$r_i + s_{i+1} = \dim f^i(\ker d^i) + (\mathrm{rk}(f^{i+1}d^i) + \mathrm{rk}[f^{i+1}]) \Longrightarrow$$

$$r_i + s_{i+1} = \dim f^i(\ker d^i) + \dim f^{i+1}(\ker d^{i+1}).$$

□

Proposition 10. *Let* $v = \dim V$, $h^v = \dim H(V)$, $w = \dim W$ *and* $h^w = \dim H(W)$. *If* $C_{r,s} \neq \emptyset$ *then* $C_{r,s}$ *is irreducible, smooth and*

$$\dim(C_{r,s}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(h_i^v + h_i^w - s_i)s_i + (\chi_i(v - h^v) + \chi_i(w - h^w) - \chi_i(r - s))\chi_i(r - s).$$

Proof. Consider the map that forgets the last morphism

$$\pi : C_{r,s} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{C}_{\widehat{r,s}}$$

Its fibers $\pi^{-1}(\widehat{f}) = \pi^{-1}(f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^{n-1})$ are

$$\{f^n \in \hom(V^n, W^n) \,|\, f^n d^{n-1} = \delta^{n-1} f^{n-1},\ \operatorname{rk}(f^n) = r_n, \operatorname{rk}([f^n]) = s_n\}.$$

As usual write $V^n = B^n_V \oplus H^n_V \oplus C^n_V$ and $W^n = B^n_W \oplus H^n_W \oplus C^n_W$. Note that

$$\{f^n \in \hom(V^n, W^n) \,|\, f^n d^{n-1} = \delta^{n-1} f^{n-1}\} \cong \hom(H^n_V, H^n_W) \times \hom(C^n_V, C^n_W)$$

The rank of f^n over H^n_V must be s_n and over C^n_V must be

$$r_n - \operatorname{rk}(\delta^{n-1} f^{n-1}) - s_n = \chi_n(r) - \chi_n(s) = \chi_n(r - s).$$

Then all the fibers $\pi^{-1}(\widehat{f})$ are isomorphic to

$$\{f_1 \in \hom(H^n_V, H^n_W) \,|\, \operatorname{rk}(f_1) = s_n\} \times \{f_2 \in \hom(C^n_V, C^n_W) \,|\, \operatorname{rk}(f_2) = \chi_n(r - s)\}.$$

They are irreducible, smooth and of dimension ([20, proposicin 12.2])

$$(\dim H^n_V + \dim H^n_W - s_n)s_n + (\dim C^n_V + \dim C^n_W - \chi_n(r - s))\chi_n(r - s) =$$

$$(h^v_n + h^w_n - s_n)s_n + (\chi_n(v - h^v) + \chi_n(w - h^w) - \chi_n(r - s))\chi_n(r - s).$$

The result follows by induction. □

Given that $GL(V) \times GL(W)$ acts transitively on the irreducible, smooth varieties $C_{r,s}$, it follows that $C_{r,s}$ are the orbits of the action.

Proposition 11. *Let* $w := \dim W$ *and* $h_w := \dim H(W)$. *Assume* $C_{r,s} \neq \emptyset$ *then*

$$\overline{C_{r,s}} = \bigcup_{(u,t) \leq (s,r)} C_{t,u} = \{f \in \hom^0(V, W) \,|\, rk(f) \leq r,\ rk([f]) \leq s\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$E_{r,s} := \bigcup_{(u,t) \leq (s,r)} C_{t,u}.$$

Being close we have $\overline{C_{r,s}} \subseteq E_{r,s}$. Given that $C_{r,s}$ is dense in $E_{r,s}$, to get the equality we will see that $E_{r,s}$ is irreducible. Let

$$\mathcal{I}_{r,s} := \{(L, f) \,|\, \operatorname{im}(f) \subseteq L\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(W) \times \hom^0(V, W).$$

The fibers of the first projection $\pi_1^{-1}(L) \cong \hom^0(V, L)$ are irreducible of the same dimension, then $\mathcal{I}_{r,s}$ and $\operatorname{im}(\pi_2)$ are irreducible. Let's see that $\operatorname{im}(\pi_2) = E_{r,s}$, let $f \in C_{t,u}$, $(t, u) \leq (r, s)$ then

$$\exists L \in \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(W) \,|\, \operatorname{im}(f) \subseteq L \iff \mathcal{G}_{r-t,s-u}(W/\operatorname{im}(f)) \neq \emptyset \iff$$

$$\chi_i((r - t) - (s - u)) \leq \chi_i((w - t) - (h_w - u)) \iff$$

$$\chi_i(r - s) \leq \chi_i(w - h_w) \iff \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(W) \neq \emptyset.$$

Given that $C_{r,s} \neq \emptyset$, we get $\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(W) \neq \emptyset$. Note that we recover the formula

$$\dim(C_{r,s}) = \dim(E_{r,s}) = \dim(\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(W)) + \dim(\hom^0(V, L)).$$

□

Corollary 12. *$C_{t,u} \subseteq \overline{C_{r,s}} \Longleftrightarrow (u,t) \leq (s,r)$.* $\square$

Corollary 13.

$$\overline{C_{t,u}} \bigcap \overline{C_{r,s}} = \overline{C_{\min(t,r),\min(u,s)}}$$

where $\min(a,b) := (\min(a_0,b_0),\ldots,\min(a_n,b_n))$. $\square$

Proposition 14. *Let $v = \dim V$, $w = \dim W$, $h^v = \dim H(V)$, $h^w = \dim H(W)$. Let r and s such that $r \leq \min(v,w)$, $s \leq \min(h^v,h^w)$ and $s \leq r$.*

$$C_{r,s} \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow 0 \leq \chi_i(r-s) \leq \min(\chi_i(v-h^v),\chi_i(w-h^w))$$

Proof. As usual write $V^i = Z^i_V \oplus C^i_V$, $W^i = Z^i_W \oplus C^i_W$, $Z^i_V = B^i_V \oplus H^i_V$, $Z^i_W = B^i_W \oplus H^i_W$. Let's proceed by induction. Let $f^0 = f^0_Z \oplus f^0_C$ of rank s_0 and $r_0 - s_0$ respectively,

$$H^0_V = Z^0_V \xrightarrow{f^0_Z} Z^0_W = H^0_W, \quad C^0_V \xrightarrow{f^0_C} C^0_W.$$

This is possible because

$$0 \leq r_0 - s_0 = \mathrm{rk}(f^0_C) \leq \min(\dim C^0_V, \dim C^0_W) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{rk}(f^0) = r_0.$$

Suppose we have defined f^i. Given that $d^i|_{C^i_V}$ and $\delta^i|_{C^i_W}$ are injective, there will be in f^{i+1} the component f^i_C of rank $\mathrm{rk}(f^i) - \mathrm{rk}(f^i|_{Z^i_V})$. Let's call it f^{i+1}_B. By inductive hypothesis we have

$$\mathrm{rk}(f^{i+1}_B) = \mathrm{rk}(f^i) - \mathrm{rk}(f^i|_{Z^i}) = r_i - \chi_{i-1}(r) - \chi_i(s) = \chi_i(r) - \chi_i(s).$$

Let f^{i+1}_H of rank s_{i+1} and let f^{i+1}_C of rank

$$r_{i+1} - s_{i+1} - (\chi_i(r) - \chi_i(s)) = \chi_{i+1}(r) - \chi_{i+1}(s).$$

This is possible because

$$0 \leq \chi_{i+1}(r) - \chi_{i+1}(s) \leq \min(\dim C^{i+1}_V, \dim C^{i+1}_W) = \min(\chi_{i+1}(v-h^v), \chi_{i+1}(w-h^w)).$$

Then $\mathrm{rk}(f^{i+1}) = r_{i+1}$ and $\mathrm{rk}([f]^{i+1}) = s_{i+1}$. The other implication is obvious. $\square$

Corollary 15. *Let $f \in \hom^0(V,W)$ then*

$$\chi_n(rk(f)) = \chi_n(rk([f])).$$

Proof. We have $f \in C_{t,u}$ for some $t,u \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}_0$. From 14 and 6 follows

$$0 \leq \chi_n(t-u) \leq 0 \Longrightarrow \chi_n(t) = \chi_n(u).$$

$\square$

3.1. *Subvariety of quasi-isomorphism.*

Fix (V, d) and (W, δ) two complexes such that $h = \dim H(V) = \dim H(W)$. This is a necessary hypothesis for the existence of quasi-isomorphisms. Let $Q = Q(V, W) \subseteq \hom^0(V, W)$ be the subvariety of quasi-isomorphisms, $v := \dim V$ and $w := \dim W$. Let $f \in \hom^0(V, W)$ be a quasi-isomorphism then there exist q such that $f \in C_{q,h}$. In fact, using 14, we know that

$$C_{q,h} \neq \emptyset \iff 0 \leq \chi_i(q-h) \leq \min(\chi_i(v-h), \chi_i(w-h)) \iff$$

$$0 \leq \chi_i(q) - \chi_i(h) \leq \min(\chi_i(v), \chi_i(w)) - \chi_i(h) \iff$$

$$\chi_i(h) \leq \chi_i(q) \leq \min(\chi_i(v), \chi_i(w)).$$

Then if q is such that

$$h \leq q \leq \min(v, w), \quad \chi_i(h) \leq \chi_i(q) \leq \min(\chi_i(v), \chi_i(w)) \Longrightarrow \overline{C_{q,h}} \subseteq Q.$$

The irreducible components of Q correspond to the maximal q satisfying this condition. When $v = w$ we have one maximum q, so $Q = \overline{C_{v,h}}$ is irreducible of dimension

$$\dim(Q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} h_i^2 + \chi_i(v-h)^2.$$

4. Variety of complexes.

Suppose we have $V = H(V)$. We want to study the variety $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(V) \subseteq \hom^1(V, V)$ of all the complex structures over V.

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(V) := \{d \in \hom^0(V, V[1]) \,|\, d \circ d = 0\}.$$

The action of $GL(V)$ on $\mathcal{D}$ is different from the action of the group $GL(V) \times GL(V[1])$ on $\hom^1(V, V)$ whose orbits are $C_{r,r}$. The group $GL(V)$ preserve the condition $d^2 = 0$ but $GL(V) \times GL(V[1])$ does not. Let's define

$$\mathcal{D}_r := \{d \in C_{r,r} \,|\, d^2 = 0\} = \{d : V \longrightarrow V[1] \,|\, d^2 = 0, \operatorname{rk}(d) = r\}.$$

The reason why we used $C_{r,r}$ in the definition is that $V = H(V)$, so $\operatorname{rk}(d) = \operatorname{rk}([d])$ for every $d \in \hom^0(V, V[1])$. The action of $GL(V)$ preserve $\mathcal{D}_r$,

$$(\phi d \phi^{-1})^2 = \phi d \phi^{-1} \phi d \phi^{-1} = \phi d^2 \phi^{-1} = 0.$$

Given that $d^{i+1} d^i = 0$ it is possible to find a basis of V^i and of V^{i+1} in such a way that the matrixes of both maps are diagonal (with ones and zeros), then the action is transitive implying that $\mathcal{D}_r$ are the orbits. Even more, the irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}$ are $\overline{\mathcal{D}_r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$.

Proposition 16. *Let* $v = \dim V$ *and let* $r \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$. *If* $\mathcal{D}_r \neq \emptyset$ *then* $\mathcal{D}_r$ *is smooth, irreducible and*

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_r = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (v_i + v_{i+1} - r_i - r_{i-1}) r_i.$$

Proof. Consider the map that forget the last differential

$$\pi : \mathcal{D}_r \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}_r}$$

The fibers $\pi^{-1}(\widehat{d}) = \pi^{-1}(d^0, d^1, \dots, d^{n-1})$ are

$$\{d^n \in \hom(V^n, V^{n+1}) \,|\, d^n d^{n-1} = 0,\ \mathrm{rk}(d^n) = r_n\} \cong$$

$$\{f \in \hom(V^n/\mathrm{im}(d^{n-1}), V^{n+1}) \,|\, \mathrm{rk}(f) = r_n\}.$$

They are irreducible, smooth and of dimension

$$((v_n - r_{n-1}) + v_{n+1} - r_n)r_n = (v_n + v_{n+1} - r_n - r_{n-1})r_n.$$

The result follows by induction. □

Proposition 17. *Let* $v = \dim V$ *and let* $r \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$. *Assume* $\mathcal{D}_r \neq \emptyset$ *then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_r} = \bigcup_{t \leq r} \mathcal{D}_t = \{d \,|\, d^2 = 0,\ rk(d) \leq r\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$E_r := \{d : V \longrightarrow V[1] \,|\, d \circ d = 0,\ \mathrm{rk}(d) \leq r\}.$$

Being close we have $\overline{\mathcal{D}_r} \subseteq E_r$. Let

$$\mathcal{I}_r := \{(L, d) \,|\, \mathrm{im}\, d^i \subseteq L^{i+1} \subseteq \ker d^{i+1}\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{r,r}(V) \times \mathcal{D}.$$

The fibers of the first projection $\pi_1^{-1}(L) \cong \hom^0(V/L, L[1])$ are irreducible of the same dimension, then $\mathcal{I}_r$ and $\mathrm{im}(\pi_2) = E_r$ are irreducible. Given that $\mathcal{D}_r$ is dense in E_r we get the equality. □

Corollary 18. $\mathcal{D}_t \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{D}_r} \iff t \leq r$. □

Corollary 19. $\overline{\mathcal{D}_t} \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{D}_r} = \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\min(t,r)}}$. □

Proposition 20. *Let* $v = \dim V$ *and let* $r \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$ *be such that* $r_i \leq v_{i+1}$ *then*

$$\mathcal{D}_r \neq \emptyset \iff r_i + r_{i-1} \leq v_i.$$

Even more if $d \in \mathcal{D}_r$,

$$\dim H^i(V, d) = v_i - r_i - r_{i-1}.$$

Proof. Suppose we have $d^0, \dots, d^{i-1}$. Given that $d \circ d = 0$, the map d^i induces

$$d^i : V^i/\mathrm{im}(d^{i-1}) \longrightarrow V^{i+1}.$$

We need $\mathrm{rk}(d^i) = r_i$. By hypothesis we have $r_i \leq v_{i+1}$, so d^i exist if and only if $r_i \leq v_i - r_{i-1}$. In particular we have calculated the dimension of $H^i(V, d)$. □

4.1. *Subvariety of exact complexes.*

Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(V) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(V)$ be the subvariety of exact complexes. Let $v = \dim(V)$ and $e_i := \chi_i(v) \geq 0$, from 20 we have

$$e_i + e_{i-1} = \chi_i(v) + \chi_{i-1}(v) = v_i \leq v_i \Longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}_e} \subseteq \mathcal{D}.$$

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}_e) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (v_i + v_{i+1} - e_i - e_{i-1}) e_i.$$

Given that $v_i - e_{i-1} - e_i = 0$, if $d \in \mathcal{D}_e$ we have $\dim H(V, d) = 0$. It follows from 20 that any exact differential belongs to $\mathcal{D}_e$, then

$$\mathcal{E} = \overline{\mathcal{D}_e} = \{d \,|\, d^2 = 0,\ \mathrm{rk}(d^i) \leq e_i\}.$$

If some $e_i < 0$ there are no exact differentials.

5. Preliminaries on $\mathfrak{g}$-structures.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $R(\mathfrak{g})$ be the ring of finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-representations. To each representation V we associate its class $cl(V) \in R(\mathfrak{g})$. Every finite dimensional representation could be written as a sum of irreducible representation. This decomposition in unique up to isomorphisms (see [21, §23.2]). For example, it is well known, [21, 11.31], that if $V = S^r(\mathbb{C}^2)$ then

$$cl(S^2(V)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} cl(S^{2r-4m}(\mathbb{C}^2)) \in R(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})).$$

Definition 21. For $S \in R(\mathfrak{g})$ we will say $S \geq 0$ if its decomposition as a finite sum of irreducible representations has only non-negative coefficients, in particular

$$S_1 \leq S_2 \Longleftrightarrow S_2 - S_1 \geq 0.$$

Note that $V \subseteq W \Longleftrightarrow cl(V) \leq cl(W)$ where $cl(V)$ and $cl(W)$ are its classes in $R(\mathfrak{g})$.

Given $f : V \longrightarrow W$ a morphism let's define its $\mathfrak{g}$-rank as

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathfrak{g}}(f) := cl(\mathrm{im}(f)) \in R(\mathfrak{g}) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{rk}_{\mathfrak{g}}(f) \leq cl(W).$$

Let $\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s$ be the variety of all the $\mathfrak{g}$-morphisms with $\mathfrak{g}$-rank $s \in R(\mathfrak{g})$. Given a representation V and a subrepresentation S, let $Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, S)$ be the variety of all the subrepresentations isomorphic to S. Given that this variety only depends on the classes of V and of S, in general we will denote it $Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(cl(V), cl(S))$.

We are going to study $\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s$ and $Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, S)$. Let's start with $\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s$.

6. $\mathfrak{g}$-determinantal variety.

Proposition 22. *Let* V *and* W *be two representations with* $cl(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i cl(V_i)$ *and* $cl(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i cl(V_i)$ *then*

$$\dim \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W) = \dim \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(cl(V), cl(W)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i m_i .$$

Proof. It follows from the following:

$$\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W) = \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(\oplus V_i^{n_i}, \oplus V_j^{m_j}) = \oplus_{i,j} \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i^{n_i}, V_j^{m_j}) =$$

$$\oplus_{i,j} \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i, V_j)^{n_i m_j} = \oplus_i \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i, V_i)^{n_i m_i} = \oplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{C}^{n_i m_i}.$$

The last equality follows from Schur's Lemma, [22, §6.1]. □

Lemma 23. *Let* V *be an irreducible representation and let* $n, m, s \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $s \leq \min(m, n)$ *then*

$$\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V^n, V^m)_{V^s} \cong \hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m)_s .$$

Proof. The representation V has only one highest weight line, $\langle v \rangle$. In general V^n has only n highest weight vectors linearly independent $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. A morphism from V^n is determined by this vectors. Every morphism sends highest weight vectors to highest weight vectors hence

$$\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V^n, V^m) = \hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m).$$

Let $f \in \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V^n, V^m)$ such that $\operatorname{im}(f) \cong V^s$ then f sends the highest weight vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ to s linearly independent highest weight vectors of V^m. In other words, f determine a linear map of rank s. Finally the result follows. □

Corollary 24. *Let* V *and* W *be two representations with* $cl(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i cl(V_i)$*,* $cl(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i cl(V_i)$ *and let* $0 \leq s \leq \min(cl(V), cl(W))$ *with* $s = \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i cl(V_i)$ *then*

$$\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s = \hom(\mathbb{C}^{n_1}, \mathbb{C}^{m_1})_{s_1} \times \ldots \times \hom(\mathbb{C}^{n_k}, \mathbb{C}^{m_k})_{s_k} .$$

In particular, to have maximal $\mathfrak{g}$*-rank is a generic condition.*

Proof. It follows from the previous lemma and from the following:

$$\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s = \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_1^{n_1}, V_1^{m_1})_{V_1^{s_1}} \times \ldots \times \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_k^{n_k}, V_k^{m_k})_{V_k^{s_k}} .$$

□

Proposition 25. *Given* $cl(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i cl(V_i)$*,* $cl(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i cl(V_i)$ *and* $s = \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i cl(V_i)$ *such that* $0 \leq s \leq \min(cl(V), cl(W))$ *we have that the variety* $\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s$ *is irreducible, smooth and*

$$\dim \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i + m_i - s_i) s_i .$$

Proof. We already know that the variety $\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s$ is irreducible and smooth. The dimension follows from [20, 12.2],

$$\dim \hom(\mathbb{C}^{n_i}, \mathbb{C}^{m_i})_{s_i} = (n_i + m_i - s_i)s_i.$$

☐

Remark 26. Assume $V = W$ and $cl(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i cl(V_i)$. An endomorphism of maximal $\mathfrak{g}$-rank is the same as an automorphism, so we have that the space of automorphisms is a dense open subset of $\mathrm{end}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$. In particular,

$$\dim \mathrm{aut}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i^2.$$

Proposition 27. *The group $aut_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \times aut_{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$ acts on the left on $\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)$ and this action is transitive on $\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s$.*

Proof. Given $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathrm{aut}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \times \mathrm{aut}_{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$ and $f \in \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s$, let

$$(\phi, \psi).f := \phi f \psi^{-1}$$

The automorphisms ϕ and ψ sends each irreducible submodule into a copy of that irreducible submodule, then

$$\mathrm{im}(f) \cong \mathrm{im}(\phi f \psi^{-1}) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{rk}_{\mathfrak{g}}(f) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi f \psi^{-1}).$$

The transitivity follows from standard arguments. ☐

Proposition 28. *Given $cl(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i cl(V_i)$, $cl(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i cl(V_i)$ and $s = \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i cl(V_i)$ such that $0 \le s \le \min(cl(V), cl(W))$ we have*

$$\overline{\hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_s} = \bigcup_{t \le s} \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)_t = \{f : V \longrightarrow W \,|\, rk_{\mathfrak{g}}(f) \le s\}.$$

Proof. The result follows from

$$\overline{\hom(\mathbb{C}^{n_i}, \mathbb{C}^{m_i})_{s_i}} = \{f : \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m_i} \,|\, \mathrm{rk}(f) \le s_i\}.$$

☐

7. $\mathfrak{g}$-Grassmannian.

Let's study now the variety $Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, S)$.

Lemma 29. *Let V be an irreducible representation and let $s \le n \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V^n, V^s) \cong Gr(n, s).$$

Proof. A subrepresentation of V^n isomorphic to V^s determines and is determined by a subspace of dimension s inside the n dimensional vector space of highest weight vectors of V^n. ☐

Corollary 30. *Given $S \subseteq V$ with $cl(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i cl(V_i)$ and $cl(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i cl(V_i)$.*

$$Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, S) = Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_1^{n_1}, V_1^{s_1}) \times \ldots \times Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_k^{n_k}, V_k^{s_k}).$$

Proof. Every subrepresentation $S \subseteq V$ is given by a morphism $S \hookrightarrow V$, so it decompose as a sum of $V_i^{s_i} \hookrightarrow V_i^{n_i}$, in other words we have subrepresentations $V_i^{s_i} \subseteq V_i^{n_i}$. $\square$

Proposition 31. *Given $S \subseteq V$ with $cl(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i cl(V_i)$ and $cl(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i cl(V_i)$. The variety $Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, S)$ is irreducible, smooth and*

$$\dim Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, S) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i - s_i) s_i.$$

Proof. We already know that the variety $Gr_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, S)$ is irreducible and smooth. The dimension follows from [20, p.138],

$$\dim Gr(n, s) = (n - s)s.$$

$\square$

8. The variety $\mathcal{DGLA}(E)$.

Recall the definition of a DGLA $(E, d, [-, -])$,

- $[E^i, E^j] \subset E^{i+j}$, $[a, b] = -(-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}[b, a]$
- $[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + (-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}[b, [a, c]]$
- $d(E^i) \subset E^{i+1}$, $d^2 = 0$, $d[a, b] = [da, b] + (-1)^{\bar{a}}[a, db]$

Note that E^0 is a Lie algebra, E^i is a module ($i > 0$), d^0 is a derivation ([23, 7.4.3]) and that the bracket $E^1 \times E^1 \to E^2$ is symmetric. In this section we are assuming $E = E^0 \oplus E^1 \oplus E^2$, so a DGLA structure on E is the data of a semisimple Lie algebra E^0, two modules E^1 and E^2, two lineal maps d^0 and d^1 and finally a symmetric bilinear E^0-morphism $f : S^2(E^1) \to E^2$,

$$E = E^0 \oplus E^1 \oplus E^2, \quad d^0 : E^0 \longrightarrow E^1,\ d^1 : E^1 \longrightarrow E^2,\ f : S^2(E^1) \longrightarrow E^2.$$

They satisfies the following conditions

$$d^0([a, b]) = -b.d^0(a) + a.d^0(b), \quad d^1(a.x) = f(d^0 a, x) + a.d^1(x), \quad d^1 d^0 = 0.$$

Let's define the variety $\mathcal{DGLA}(E)$,

$$\mathcal{DGLA}(E) = \{(d^0, d^1, f) \mid \text{DGLA on } E\}.$$

Notation 32. For every $e \in \mathcal{DGLA}(E)$ we have its corresponding Maurer-Cartan variety $M(e)$

$$M(e) := \{x \in E^1 \mid 2d^1(x) + f(xx) = 0\}.$$

We are using a lowercase e to distinguish it from the graded vector space E. There are a lot of structures of DGLA in the same space E.

Notation 33. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra and let V be a module. The space of derivations $\mathrm{der}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is representable by a module $\mathcal{I}$ in such a way that every derivation d corresponds to a $\mathfrak{g}$-morphism $\phi_d : \mathcal{I} \to V$,

$$\mathrm{der}(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \hom_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{I}, V).$$

In this way we can associate to every derivation the $\mathfrak{g}$-rank of ϕ_d,

$$\mathrm{der}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \to R(\mathfrak{g}), \quad d \to \mathrm{rk}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi_d).$$

Recall that in the semisimple case all derivations are inner derivations then $\mathrm{der}(\mathfrak{g}, V) = V$. In other words, the notation V_s will mean

$$V_s = \mathrm{der}(\mathfrak{g}, V)_s = \{d \in \mathrm{der}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \,|\, \mathrm{rk}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi_d) = s\}.$$

Proposition 34. *We have the following union of irreducibles, smooth subvarieties*

$$\mathcal{DGLA}(E) = \bigcup_{0 \leq v \leq cl(E^1)} \mathcal{DGLA}(E)_v,$$

where

$$\mathcal{DGLA}(E)_v = \{(d^0, d^1, f) \in \mathcal{DGLA}(E) \,|\, d^0 \in E^1_v\},$$

$$\dim \mathcal{DGLA}(E)_v = \dim E^1_v + \dim \hom_{E^0}(E^1/v, E^2) + \dim \hom_{E^0}(S^2(E^1), E^2).$$

Proof. The projection $\pi_1 : \mathcal{DGLA}(E)_v \longrightarrow E^1_v$ has fibers

$$\pi_1^{-1}(d^0) = \{(d^1, f) \,|\, d^1(ax) = f(d^0 a, x) + ad^1(x),\ d^1 d^0 = 0\}.$$

Let $(d^1, f) \in \pi_1^{-1}(d^0)$ and recall that f is an E^0-morphism and that d^0 is an inner derivation (i.e. $d^0 = -.y$ for some $y \in E^1$). Let's analyze the conditions for (d^1, f):

$$d^1(ax) = f(ay, x) + ad^1(x) = af(y, x) - f(y, ax) + ad^1(x) \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$ad^1(x) + af(y, x) = d^1(ax) + f(y, ax) \Longleftrightarrow a(d^1 + f(y, -))(x) = (d^1 + f(y, -))(ax) \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$d^1 + f(y, -) \in \hom_{E^0}(E^1, E^2).$$

Projecting the variety $\pi_1^{-1}(d^0)$ over its second factor with p_2 and taking fiber, we get

$$p_2^{-1}(f) = \{d^1 \,|\, d^1 + f(y, -) \in \hom_{E^0}(E^1, E^2),\ d^1 d^0 = 0\} \cong$$

$$\{g \in \hom_{E^0}(E^1, E^2) \,|\, (g - f(y, -))d^0 = 0\}.$$

Let's rewrite the last condition

$$0 = (g - f(y, -))d^0(a) = (g - f(y, -))(ay) = g(ay) - f(y, ay) =$$

$$ag(y) - \frac{a}{2} f(yy) = a(g(y) - \frac{1}{2} f(yy)) \quad \forall a \in E^0.$$

Given that E^0 is semisimple, the last equation is equivalent to $2g(y) = f(yy)$. Finally,

$$p_2^{-1}(f) \cong \{g \in \hom_{E^0}(E^1, E^2) \,|\, g(y) = f(yy)\} \cong \hom_{E^0}(E^1/v, E^2).$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{im}(p_2) = \hom_{E^0}(S^2(E^1), E^2).$$

□

We will see in the next two lemmas that every Maurer-Cartan variety of a DGLA F with F^0 semisimple appears in a DGLA $e \in \mathcal{DGLA}(E)_0$ where $E = F^0 \oplus F^1 \oplus \ker d^2$. Note that e has $d^0 = 0$.

Lemma 35. *Every degree zero map between two DGLA, $\phi : E \to F$, induces an equivariant map $\phi_\star : M(E) \to M(F)$. If ϕ_0, ϕ_1 are bijective and ϕ_2 injective, $\phi_\star$ is an equivariant isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $x \in M(E)$ then $2dx + [x,x] = 0$. Let's see what happens with $\phi(x)$,

$$2d(\phi(x)) + [\phi(x), \phi(x)] = \phi(2dx + [x,x]) = 0,$$

then we have a well defined map $\phi_\star : M(E) \to M(F)$.

The simply connected Lie group associated to the Lie algebra E^0 acts on E^1 preserving the Maurer-Cartan variety. This action is called gauge-action,

$$a \cdot x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!}(x - \frac{da}{k+1}).$$

Let's see that $\phi_\star$ preserve this action. Let $a \in E^0$, $x \in M(E)$ and let $b = \phi_0(a) \in F^0$, $y = \phi_1(x) \in M(F)$. Given that ϕ is a DGLA map, we have $\phi_1(d^0 a) = d^0\phi_0(a) = d^0 b$ then

$$\phi_\star(a \cdot x) = \phi_\star(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!}(x - \frac{da}{k+1})) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^k}{k!}(y - \frac{db}{k+1}) = b \cdot y.$$

Finally, suppose that ϕ_1 is surjective and ϕ_2 injective,

$$z \in M(F) \Longrightarrow 0 = 2dz + [z,z] = 2d\phi(x) + [\phi(x), \phi(x)] = \phi(2dx + [x,x]) \Longrightarrow x \in M(E)$$

then $\phi_\star$ is surjective. If ϕ_1 is injective, clearly so is $\phi_\star$. □

Lemma 36. *Let $E = E^0 \oplus E^1 \oplus E^2$ with E^0 semisimple and E^1, E^2 two modules. For every $e \in \mathcal{DGLA}(E)$ there exist $\widetilde{e} \in \mathcal{DGLA}(E)_0$ such that*

$$M(e) \cong M(\widetilde{e}).$$

Proof. Given $x \in M(e)$ we can construct another DGLA structure on E different from $e = (d^0, d^1, f)$,

$$\widetilde{e} := (d^0 - \mu_x, d^1 + f(x, -), f)$$

where μ_x is the inner derivation $\mu_x(a) = a.x = -[x,a]$. The Maurer-Cartan variety $M(\widetilde{e})$ is isomorphic to $M(e)$ in an equivariant way:

$$M(\widetilde{e}) \longrightarrow M(e), \quad z \to z + x.$$

$$(a \cdot_2 z) + x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!}(z - \frac{da - ax}{k+1}) + x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!}(z - \frac{da}{k+1}) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!}\frac{ax}{k+1} + x =$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!}(z - \frac{da}{k+1}) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!}x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k!}(z + x - \frac{da}{k+1}) = a \cdot_1 (z + x).$$

Given that d^0 is an inner derivation there exist $y \in E^1$ such that $d^0 = \mu_y$, then if $y \in M(e)$, we can make $\widetilde{e} \in \mathcal{DGLA}(E)_0$. Let $e = (\mu_y, d^1, f) \in \mathcal{DGLA}(E)$, let's see that $y \in M(e)$,

$$0 = d^1\mu_y(a) = d^1(ay) = f(ay, y) + ad^1(y) =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}af(y,y) + ad^1(y) = a(d^1(y) + \frac{1}{2}f(y,y)) \quad \forall a \in E^0.$$

Given that E^0 is semisimple, $y \in M(e)$. □

Remark 37. From the previous two lemmas we know that every Maurer-Cartan variety associated to a DGLA E with E^0 semisimple could be obtained from a structure of the form $e = (0, d^1, f)$. We want to mention here that e induce an E^0-morphism

$$e : E^1 \oplus S^2(E^1) \to E^2, \quad e(x, q) = 2d^1(x) + f(q).$$

We already noted before that f is an E^0-morphism and in this case, when $d^0 = 0$, we get that d^1 is also an E^0-morphism (see the DGLA conditions). In fact, we have

$$\mathcal{DGLA}(E)_0 \cong \hom_{E^0}(E^1 \oplus S^2(E^1), E^2).$$

For every submodule isomorphic to E^2 inside $E^1 \oplus S^2(E^1)$ we will have a variety of Maurer-Cartan.

Acknowledgments.

I would like to thanks my advisor, Fernando Cukierman for the very useful discussions, ideas and suggestions. All the ideas presented here were given by him. This work was supported by CONICET, Argentina.

References

[1] F. Cukierman, Varieties of complexes and foliations, ArXiv e-prints:`arXiv:1111.5514`.

[2] D. A. Buchsbaum, D. Eisenbud, What makes a complex exact?, J. Algebra 25 (1973) 259–268.

[3] G. R. Kempf, Images of homogeneous vector bundles and varieties of complexes, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (5) (1975) 900–901.

[4] C. De Concini, E. Strickland, On the variety of complexes, Adv. in Math. 41 (1) (1981) 57–77. `doi:10.1016/S0001-8708(81)80004-7`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708(81)80004-7`

[5] C. Huneke, The arithmetic perfection of Buchsbaum-Eisenbud varieties and generic modules of projective dimension two, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 265 (1) (1981) 211–233. `doi:10.2307/1998491`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1998491`

[6] E. Ballico, D. Dikranjan, Invariant ideals and the variety of complexes, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (6) 2 (1) (1983) 33–50.

[7] W. Bruns, Divisors on varieties of complexes, Math. Ann. 264 (1) (1983) 53–71. `doi:10.1007/BF01458050`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01458050`

[8] C. Musili, C. S. Seshadri, Schubert varieties and the variety of complexes, in: Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II, Vol. 36 of Progr. Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983, pp. 329–359.

[9] Y. Yoshino, Some results on the variety of complexes, Nagoya Math. J. 93 (1984) 39–60.
URL `http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.nmj/1118787428`

[10] E. Strickland, On the variety of projectors, J. Algebra 106 (1) (1987) 135–147. `doi:10.1016/0021-8693(87)90025-1`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(87)90025-1`

[11] R. Goldblatt, Varieties of complex algebras, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 44 (3) (1989) 173–242. `doi:10.1016/0168-0072(89)90032-8`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-0072(89)90032-8`

[12] J. M. Ancochéa-Bermúdez, M. Goze, Sur la variété des lois d'algèbres de Lie nilpotentes complexes, Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari 58 (1-2) (1988) 43–48.

[13] Y. B. Hakimjanov, J. M. Ancochéa-Bermúdez, M. Goze, Sur la réductibilité de la variété des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes complexes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 313 (2) (1991) 59–62.

[14] C. Musili, A note on the variety of projectors, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 74 (1) (1991) 73–84. `doi:10.1016/0022-4049(91)90050-C`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049(91)90050-C`

[15] V. Lakshmibai, Singular loci of varieties of complexes, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 152 (1-3) (2000) 217–230, commutative algebra, homological algebra and representation theory (Catania/Genoa/Rome, 1998). `doi:10.1016/S0022-4049(99)00144-9`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(99)00144-9`

[16] N. Gonciulea, Singular loci of varieties of complexes. II, J. Algebra 235 (2) (2001) 547–558. `doi:10.1006/jabr.1999.8068`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1999.8068`

[17] C. Riedtmann, M. Rutscho, S. O., Irreducible components of module varieties: an example, J. Algebra 331 (2011) 130–144. `doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.11.009`.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.11.009`

[18] A. Nijenhuis, R. W. Richardson, Jr., Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966) 1–29.

[19] F. W. Warner, Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups, Vol. 94 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983, corrected reprint of the 1971 edition.

[20] J. Harris, Algebraic geometry, Vol. 133 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, a first course.

[21] W. Fulton, J. Harris, Representation theory, Vol. 129 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, a first course, Readings in Mathematics.

[22] J. E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Vol. 9 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978, second printing, revised.

[23] C. A. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra, Vol. 38 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.